

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/667,611		09/22/2000	G. Victor Guyan	07752.0020	1864
28164	7590	07/18/2005		EXAMINER	
		CHICAGO 28164 GILSON & LIONE	FRENEL,	FRENEL, VANEL	
P O BOX		GIESON & DIONE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60610				3626	
				DATE MAILED: 07/18/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				ίΛς			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/667,611	GUYAN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Vanel Frenel	3626				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ldress			
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failure - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro , cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timel m the mailing date of this c IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 M	larch 2005.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) $\underline{1-45}$ is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)[0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form P7	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			!			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition Noved in this National	Stage			
		or the certified copies flot receiv	cu.				
Attachmeni 1 \	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	∧ □	(07.6) (17.1				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [y (P10-413) Date				
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		D-152)			

M

Application/Control Number: 09/667,611

Art Unit: 3626

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant

This communication is in response to the Amendment filed on 03/30/05. Claims
 1-42 have been amended. Claims 43-45 have been newly added. Claims 1-45 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 2

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DiRienzo et al (6,076,066), Montagna et al (4,899,292) in view of Devine et al (6,631,402).
- (A) As per claim 1, DiRienzo discloses a method for capturing line item data by a data processing system, comprising (See DiRienzo, Col.11, lines 61-67): receiving claim identification information from a client computer, said claim identification information identifying an insurance claim (See DiRienzo, Col.9, lines 3-24); querying the client computer to determine whether a spreadsheet appropriate for the type of insurance claim needs to be downloaded to the client computer, the spreadsheet receives the line item data (See DiRienzo, Col.11, lines 30-67 to Col.12, line 30); downloading the spreadsheet appropriate for the type of insurance claim to the client

computer (See DiRienzo, Col.11, lines 30-67 to Col.12, line 30); inserting line item data into the spreadsheet appropriate for the type of insurance claim (See DiRienzo, Col.9, lines 3-24); aggregating the line item data collected from the client computer; storing the line item data in an insurance host server (Col.11, lines 31-67 to Col.12, line 22).

DiRienzo does not explicitly disclose providing the client computer with an item tree of line item data based on the line level; aggregating the line item data collected from the client computer.

However, these features are known in the art, as evidenced by Montagna. In particular, Montagna suggests providing the client computer with an item tree of line item data based on the line level (See Montagna, Col.5, lines 63-68 to Col.6, line 16; Col.13, lines 7-40); aggregating the line item data collected from the client computer (See Montagna, Col.5, lines 63-68 to Col.6, line 16; Col.13, lines 7-40).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the ad at the time of the invention to have included the feature of Montagna within the system of DiRienzo with the motivation of providing a system for storing and retrieving document text, worksheets and associated data and graphics, which system automatically indexes a variety of graphics relating to a document and permits convenient and rapid selection and display of the associated graphics (See Montagna, Col.2, lines 48-52).

DiRienzo and Montagna do not explicitly disclose presenting the client computer with an option to enter line item data regarding the claim through a web-based processor through a spreadsheet; when the spreadsheet option is selected; and when the web-based process option is selected.

However, these features are known in the art, as evidenced by Devine. In particular, Devine suggests presenting the client computer with an option to enter line item data regarding the claim through a web-based processor through a spreadsheet (See Devine, Col.16, lines 39-67); when the spreadsheet option is selected (See Devine, Col.5, lines 22-52); and when the web-based process option is selected (See Devine, Col.6, lines 4-55).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the ad at the time of the invention to have included the feature of Devine within the collective teachings of DiRienzo and Montagna with the motivation of providing an integrated proxy interface that reformats specific browser-based commands and communicates them to one or more corporate back-end fulfilling servers (See Devine, Col.2, lines 28-33).

- (B) As per claim 2, DiRienzo discloses the method wherein receiving claim identification information further includes receiving a claim number (Col.16, lines 46-67).
- (C) As per claim 3, DiRienzo discloses the method wherein receiving claim identification information, further includes receiving a password (Col.18, lines 33-67).
- (D) As per claim 4, DiRienzo discloses the method wherein receiving claim identification information, further includes validating the password and the claim number (Col.18. lines 33-67).

Application/Control Number: 09/667,611 Page 5

Art Unit: 3626

(E) As per claim 5, DiRienzo discloses the method wherein the step of receiving claim identification information further includes the step of issuing a fraud warning (Col.5, lines 8-51).

- (F) As per claim 6, DiRienzo discloses the method further comprising receiving information into the spreadsheet when the spreadsheet option is selected (CoI.13, lines 8-47).
- (G) As per claim 7, DiRienzo discloses the method wherein the aggregating comprises aggregating line item data in the spreadsheet when the spreadsheet option is selected (Col.13, lines 8-47).
- (H) As per claim 8, DiRienzo discloses the method wherein aggregating further comprises uploading the spreadsheet to the insurance host server when the spreadsheet option is selected (Col.13, lines 8-47).
- (I) As per claim 9, Devine discloses the method wherein providing comprises displaying an item tree of line item data based on the line level when the web-based process option is selected (See Devine, Col.16, lines 1-59).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of DiRienzo, Montagna and Devine are as discussed in the rejection of claim 1, and incorporated herein.

Art Unit: 3626

(J) As per claim 10, Devine discloses the method wherein providing further comprises tunneling through the item tree when the web-based process option is selected (See Devine, Col.16, lines 1-59).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of DiRienzo, Montagna and Devine are as discussed in the rejection of claim 1, and incorporated herein.

(K) As per claim 11, Devine discloses the method wherein providing further comprises receiving a selection of a line item level data from the item tree when the web-based process option is selected (See Devine, Col.16, lines 1-59).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of DiRienzo, Montagna and Devine are as discussed in the rejection of claim 1, and incorporated herein.

(L) As per claim 12, Devine discloses the method wherein aggregating comprises updating a display of line items based on claimant's selected line items when the webbased process option is selected (See Devine, Col.16, lines 1-59).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of DiRienzo, Montagna and Devine are as discussed in the rejection of claim 1, and incorporated herein.

(M) As per claim 13, DiRienzo discloses the method further comprising updating a display of aggregated line item data from the insurance host server when either the spreadsheet or the web-based process option is selected (CoI.11, lines 31-67 to CoI.12, line 22).

(N) As per claim 14, Devine discloses the method further comprising editing a listing of aggregated line item level data from the insurance host server when the web-based process option is selected (See Devine, Col.16, lines 1-59).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of DiRienzo, Montagna and Devine are as discussed in the rejection of claim 1, and incorporated herein.

(O) As per daim 15, DiRienzo discloses a system for capturing line item data (Col.11, lines 31-67 to Col.12, line 22), comprising: a processor for executing programs (Col.13, lines 8-47), and a memory for storing a program executable by the processor, the stored program including instructions for (i) receiving claim identification information from a client computer, said claim identification information comprising, a line level identifying an insurance claim (Co1.11, lines 31-67 to Col.12, line 22); querying the client computer to determine whether a spreadsheet appropriate for the type of insurance claim needs to be downloaded to the client computer, the spreadsheet receives the line item data (See DiRienzo, Col.11, lines 30-67 to Col.12, line 30); downloading the spreadsheet appropriate for the type of insurance claim to the client computer (See DiRienzo, Col.11, lines 30-67 to Col.12, line 30); inserting line item data into the spreadsheet appropriate for the type of insurance claim (See DiRienzo, Col.9, lines 3-24); aggregating the line item data collected from the client computer; storing the line item data in an insurance host server (Col.11, lines 31-67 to Col.12, line 22).

DiRienzo does not explicitly disclose providing the client computer with an item tree of line item data based on the line level; aggregating the line item data collected from the client computer.

However, these features are known in the art, as evidenced by Montagna. In particular, Montagna suggests providing the client computer with an item tree of line item data based on the line level (See Montagna, Col.5, lines 63-68 to Col.6, line 16; Col.13, lines 7-40); aggregating the line item data collected from the client computer (See Montagna, Col.5, lines 63-68 to Col.6, line 16; Col.13, lines 7-40).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the ad at the time of the invention to have included the feature of Montagna within the system of DiRienzo with the motivation of providing a system for storing and retrieving document text, worksheets and associated data and graphics, which system automatically indexes a variety of graphics relating to a document and permits convenient and rapid selection and display of the associated graphics (See Montagna, Col.2, lines 48-52).

DiRienzo and Montagna do not explicitly disclose presenting the client computer with an option to enter line item data regarding the claim through a web-based processor through a spreadsheet; when the spreadsheet option is selected; and when the web-based process option is selected; when either the spreadsheet or the web-based process option is selected.

However, these features are known in the art, as evidenced by Devine. In particular, Devine suggests presenting the client computer with an option to enter line item data regarding the claim through a web-based processor through a spreadsheet

(See Devine, Col.16, lines 39-67); when the spreadsheet option is selected (See Devine, Col.5, lines 22-52); and when the web-based process option is selected (See Devine, Col.6, lines 4-55); when either the spreadsheet or the web-based process option is selected (See Devine, Col.11, lines 9-50)

Page 9

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the ad at the time of the invention to have included the feature of Devine within the collective teachings of DiRienzo and Montagna with the motivation of providing an integrated proxy interface that reformats specific browser-based commands and communicates them to one or more corporate back-end fulfilling servers (See Devine, Col.2, lines 28-33).

(P) As per claim 29, DiRienzo discloses a computer readable medium containing instructions for controlling a computer system to perform a method for capturing line item data (See DiRienzo, Col.11, lines 61-67) the method comprising: receiving claim identification information from a client computer, said claim identification information comprising, a line level identifying an insurance claim (See DiRienzo, Col.9, lines 3-24); querying the client computer to determine whether a spreadsheet appropriate for the type of insurance claim needs to be downloaded to the client computer (See DiRienzo, Col.11, lines 30-67 to Col.12, line 30); downloading the appropriate spreadsheet to the client computer (See DiRienzo, Col.11, lines 30-67 to Col.12, line 30); inserting line item data into the spreadsheet appropriate for the type of insurance claim (See DiRienzo, Col.9, lines 3-24); aggregating the line item data

collected from the client computer; storing the line item data in an insurance host server (Col.11, lines 31-67 to Col.12, line 22).

DiRienzo does not explicitly disclose providing the client computer with an item tree of line item data based on the line level; aggregating the line item data collected from the client computer.

However, these features are known in the art, as evidenced by Montagna. In particular, Montagna suggests providing the client computer with an item tree of line item data based on the line level (See Montagna, Col.5, lines 63-68 to Col.6, line 16; Col.13, lines 7-40); aggregating the line item data collected from the client computer (See Montagna, Col.5, lines 63-68 to Col.6, line 16; Col.13, lines 7-40).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the ad at the time of the invention to have included the feature of Montagna within the system of DiRienzo with the motivation of providing a system for storing and retrieving document text, worksheets and associated data and graphics, which system automatically indexes a variety of graphics relating to a document and permits convenient and rapid selection and display of the associated graphics (See Montagna, Col.2, lines 48-52).

DiRienzo and Montagna do not explicitly disclose presenting the client computer with an option to enter line item data regarding the claim through a web-based processor through a spreadsheet; when the spreadsheet option is selected; and when the web-based process option is selected.

However, these features are known in the art, as evidenced by Devine. In particular, Devine suggests presenting the client computer with an option to enter line

Art Unit: 3626

item data regarding the claim through a web-based processor through a spreadsheet (See Devine, Col.16, lines 39-67); when the spreadsheet option is selected (See Devine, Col.5, lines 22-52); and when the web-based process option is selected (See Devine, Col.6, lines 4-55).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the ad at the time of the invention to have included the feature of Devine within the collective teachings of DiRienzo and Montagna with the motivation of providing an integrated proxy interface that reformats specific browser-based commands and communicates them to one or more corporate back-end fulfilling servers (See Devine, Col.2, lines 28-33).

- (Q) Claims 16-42 recite the underlying process steps of the elements of claims 2-14, respectively. As the various elements of claims 2-14 and have been shown to be either disclosed by or obvious in view of the collective teachings of DiRienzo, Montagna and Devine, it is apparent that the apparatus disclosed by the applied prior art performs the recited underlying functions. As such, the limitations recited in claims 16-42 are rejected for the same reasons given above for method claims 16-42, and incorporated herein.
- (R) As per claim 43, Devine discloses the method wherein the querying performed when the spreadsheet option is selected comprises: checking a cookie on the client computer (See Devine, Col.6, lines 4-67); and noting whether the client computer has a particular spreadsheet (See Devine, Col.6, lines 4-67).

Application/Control Number: 09/667,611 Page 12

Art Unit: 3626

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of DiRienzo, Montagna and Devine are as discussed in the rejection of claim 1, and incorporated herein.

(S) As per claim 44, Devine discloses the system wherein the querying performed when the spreadsheet option is selected comprises instructions for: checking a cookie on the client computer (See Devine, Col.6, lines 4-67); and noting whether the client computer has a particular spreadsheet (See Devine, Col.6, lines 4-67).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of DiRienzo, Montagna and Devine are as discussed in the rejection of claim 15, and incorporated herein.

(T) As per claim 45, Devine discloses the computer readable medium wherein the querying performed when the spreadsheet option is selected comprises: checking a cookie on the client computer (See Devine, Col.6, lines 4-67); and noting whether the client computer has a particular spreadsheet (See Devine, Col.6, lines 4-67).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of DiRienzo, Montagna and Devine are as discussed in the rejection of claim 29, and incorporated herein.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 03/30/05 with respect to claims 1-45 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3626

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited but not applied art teaches automated claim processing and attorney referral and selection (2001/0041993), computerized system and method for work management (5,557,515) and system and method for utilizing a fully-integrated, on-line digital collectible award redemption and instant win program (2001/0034635).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vanel Frenel whose telephone number is 571-272-6769.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas can be reached on 571-272-6776. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1 1 13.'

July 9, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600